

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | • | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 08/429.583 | 08/17/95 | 5 SATCHELL : | J | |
| | | | EXAMINER | |
| TALIFFO A | | F1M1/0319 | SKAGGS | JR.H |
| JAMES A SA 1490 COUNT | TCHELL JR | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| TUSKEGEE A | i RUAD 36 L 36083 | | | 4 |
| | | | 3101 | 1 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: | |
| | | | DATE MAILED. | 03/19/96 |
| This is a communication COMMISSIONER OF P | o from the examiner in | n charge of your application. FMARKS | | |
| COMMISSIONERO | ATENTO THE TIME | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | Responsive to communication filed on | | This action is made final. |
| This application has | | \neg | | |
| A shortened statutory p | eriod for response to | this action is set to expire month(s | s),days | from the date of this letter. |
| | | onse will cause the application to become abanc | oneo. 35 U.S.C. 133 | |
| Part I THE FOLLOW | ING ATTACHMENT(| S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: | | |
| . √ 1 | 4 Ohad bu Fu | 2 K) N | otice of Draftsman's I | Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. |
| 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice of Draftsman's Pater 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Applicant, PTO-1449. | | | | |
| 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 6. | | | | |
| Part II SUMMARY O | | | | |
| . 171 av. 1 | l | | | are pending in the application. |
| 1. Claims | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3. Claims | | | | are allowed. |
| 4. X Claims | 1 | | | are rejected. |
| • | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 7. This application has been filed with Informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | sponse to this Office action. | | |
| 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). | | | | |
| 10. The proposed examiner; | additional or substituding additional or substituding in the control of the contr | ute sheet(s) of drawings, filed onexaminer (see explanation). | | n Dapproved by the |
| 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has beenapproved;disapproved (see explanation). | | | | |
| 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filled in parent application, serial no; filled on | | | | |
| 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| 14 Other | | | | |

Serial Number: 08/429583

Art Unit: 3101

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. This application does not contain an Abstract of the Disclosure as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.72(b). An Abstract on a separate sheet is required.
- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format of an Abstract of the Disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said", should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. This application is informal in the arrangement of the specification.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

- 4. Applicant is advised as to how to arrange the content of the specification.
- (a) Title of the Invention. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification.

-2-

.

-3-

Serial Number: 08/429583

Art Unit: 3101

It should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words.

(b) Cross-References to Related Applications: if applicable.
 (c) Statement as to Rights to inventions made under Federally

sponsored research and development: (if any).

(d) Background of the Invention: The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:

(1) Field of the Invention: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions or the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."

(2) Description of the Prior Art: A description of the prior art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific prior art problems which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."

(e) Summary: A brief summary or general statement of the invention is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases, it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.

(f) Brief Description of the Drawing(s): A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.74.

Description of the Preferred Embodiment(s): A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 C.F.R. § 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. This item may also be titled "Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention." Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or, readily

-4-

Serial Number: 08/429583

Art Unit: 3101

available publication which adequately describes the subject

- (h) Claim(s): (See 37 C.F.R. § 1.75) A claim may be typed with the various elements subdivided in paragraph form. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only.
- (i) Abstract of the Disclosure.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an adequate written description of the invention.

Applicant has failed to disclose how the various listed parts operate together to perform the desired result. For example, how does the data control unit, VCR player, satellite receiver, laser-disc player, camera system, cassette dispenser, selection panel, digital counter, satellite antenna, speakers, video screen, and motion detector interrelate or cooperate to perform the desired functions. No block diagrams or wiring of the apparatus connecting these various elements has been disclosed.

Serial Number: 08/429583

Art Unit: 3101

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The claim is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.
- 7. The claim is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. \$ 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent cited.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecuting procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent

-6-

Serial Number: 08/429583

Art Unit: 3101

upon skillful preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ex.Skaggs at telephone number (703) 308-1113.

Il. H. Alkayse

II. Grant Skaggs Primary Examiner 7 + 11-it 311

hgs March 14, 1996